

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/629,853

Applicant(s)

KOHYAMA ET AL.

Examiner

Venkat Perungavoor

Art Unit

2432

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Venkat Perungavoor.

(3) _____

(2) Luminita Todor(Reg 57639).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3/9/2009.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent 6487646 to Adams et al. (hereinafter Adams).Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant discussed the Adams reference and how the codes were computed as opposed to checking information from secure software with the tamper resistant module. The Examiner understands the invention and will reconsider the rejection upon filing of response from Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Venkat Perungavoor/
Examiner, Art Unit 2432